

# Natural Deduction with Alternatives

*on structural rules, and identifying assumptions*

*Greg Restall*



University of  
St Andrews

SYMPOSIUM ON PROOF-THEORETIC SEMANTICS · UCL · NOVEMBER 2022

[https://consequently.org/presentation/2022/  
natural-deduction-with-alternatives-london](https://consequently.org/presentation/2022/natural-deduction-with-alternatives-london)

## My Aim

---

To introduce *natural deduction with alternatives*, a well-behaved, *mildly* bilateralist, single-conclusion natural deduction framework for a range of logical systems, including *classical*, *linear*, *relevant* logic and *affine* logic, by varying the policy for managing discharging of assumptions and retrieval of alternatives.

Natural Deduction with Alternatives

Weakening and Explosion

Varieties of Conjunction

Contraction, Composition, and Assumptions

NATURAL  
DEDUCTION WITH  
ALTERNATIVES

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{A} \rightarrow E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\#} \neg E}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1$$

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{\#}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1} \neg E$$

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{A} \rightarrow E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\#} \neg E}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1$$

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{\frac{A}{A} \rightarrow E} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{B}{B} \neg E}{\frac{\#}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1} \neg I^1$$



# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{\rightarrow E}}{B} \neg E}{\#} \neg I^1}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)}$$

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{A} \rightarrow E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\neg B \quad B} \neg E}{\#} \neg I^1$$

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$

# Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{\#}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1} \neg E$$

# Natural Deduction Rules

---

A

# Natural Deduction Rules

$$A \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]^i \\ \Pi \\ B \end{array}}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^i \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Pi' \\ A \end{array}}{B} \rightarrow E$$

# Natural Deduction Rules

$$A \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]^i \\ \Pi \\ B \end{array}}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^i \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Pi' \\ A \end{array}}{B} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Pi' \\ B \end{array}}{A \wedge B} \wedge I$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{A} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{B} \wedge E$$

# Natural Deduction Rules

$$A \quad \frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad B}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^i \quad \frac{A \xrightarrow{\Pi} B \quad \Pi' \quad A}{B} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\Pi \quad A \quad \Pi' \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad \#}{\neg A} \neg I^i$$

# Natural Deduction Rules

$$A \quad \frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad B}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^i \quad \frac{A \xrightarrow{\Pi} B \quad \Pi' \quad A}{B} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\Pi \quad A \quad \Pi' \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad \#}{\neg A} \neg I^i \quad \frac{\Pi \quad \neg A \quad \Pi' \quad A}{\#} \neg E$$



# Natural Deduction Rules

$$A \quad \frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad B}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^i \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \Pi' \quad A}{B} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\Pi \quad A \quad \Pi' \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{\Pi \quad A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{[A]^i \quad \Pi \quad \#}{\neg A} \neg I^i \quad \frac{\Pi \quad \neg A \quad \Pi' \quad A}{\#} \neg E \quad \frac{\Pi \quad \#}{A} \#E$$

# Natural Deduction and Sequents

$$\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \rightarrow E}{B} \rightarrow E} \# \neg I^1 \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

$$A \rightarrow B \succ \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

# Natural Deduction and Sequents

$$\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1$$

#

$A \rightarrow B, A \wedge \neg B \succ$

# Natural Deduction and Sequents

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{B} \neg E}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1 \quad \#$$

$A \wedge \neg B \succ \neg B$

# Natural Deduction and Sequents

$$\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{\frac{\quad}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg I^1} \# \neg E$$

$A \rightarrow B, A \wedge \neg B \succ B$

# Natural Deduction and Sequents

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{\neg B} \wedge E \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \frac{\frac{[A \wedge \neg B]^1}{A} \wedge E}{B} \rightarrow E}{B} \neg E}{\#} \neg I^1}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)}$$

$A \wedge \neg B \succ A$

## Classical Logic?

---

There's no proof from  $\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$  back to  $A \rightarrow B$ .

## Classical Logic?

---

There's no proof from  $\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$  back to  $A \rightarrow B$ .

*One option:* More sequents — not just  $X \succ C$ , but  $X \succ Y$ .



## Classical Logic?

There's no proof from  $\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$  back to  $A \rightarrow B$ .

*One option:* More sequents — not just  $X \succ C$ , but  $X \succ Y$ .

*What does that mean for **proofs**?*

## Folding Multiple Conclusion Sequents

$P_1, P_2 \succ C_1, C_2$  *can become*  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1} \succ C_2$

## Folding Multiple Conclusion Sequents

$P_1, P_2 \succ C_1, C_2$  *can become*  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1} \succ C_2$   
or  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_2} \succ C_1$

## Folding Multiple Conclusion Sequents

$P_1, P_2 \succ C_1, C_2$  *can become*  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1} \succ C_2$

*or*  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_2} \succ C_1$

*or*  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1}, \cancel{C_2} \succ$

## Folding Multiple Conclusion Sequents

$P_1, P_2 \succ C_1, C_2$  can become  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1} \succ C_2$

or  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_2} \succ C_1$

or  $P_1, P_2, \cancel{C_1}, \cancel{C_2} \succ$

Proofs *with alternatives* have *formulas* or *slashed formulas* at the leaves, and either one formula, or  $\#$  as a conclusion.

# Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{A \quad \cancel{A}}{\#} \uparrow$$

# Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi \\ A \end{array} \quad \cancel{A}}{\#} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow$$

# Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi \\ A \quad \cancel{A} \end{array}}{\#} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow \quad \frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \uparrow$$



## Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad A \quad \cancel{A}}{\#} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, [\cancel{A}]^i, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad \#}{A} \downarrow^i$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow \quad \frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \uparrow$$

## Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad A \quad \cancel{A}}{\#} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, [\cancel{A}]^i, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad \#}{A} \downarrow^i$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow \quad \frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ}{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A} \downarrow$$

## Rules for Alternatives

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad A \quad \cancel{A}}{\#} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, [\cancel{A}]^i, \cancel{Y} \quad \Pi \quad \#}{A} \downarrow^i$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow \quad \frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \uparrow$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ}{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A} \downarrow \quad \frac{X \succ ; A, Y}{X \succ A, Y} \downarrow$$

## Rules for Alternatives

$$\begin{array}{c}
 X, \cancel{Y} \\
 \Pi \\
 A \quad \cancel{A} \\
 \hline
 \# \quad \uparrow
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 X, [\cancel{A}]^i, \cancel{Y} \\
 \Pi \\
 \# \\
 \hline
 \downarrow^i \\
 A
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A}{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ} \uparrow \quad
 \frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \uparrow \quad
 \frac{X, \cancel{Y}, \cancel{A} \succ}{X, \cancel{Y} \succ A} \downarrow \quad
 \frac{X \succ ; A, Y}{X \succ A, Y} \downarrow$$

We add the *store* and *retrieve* rules and keep the other rules *fixed*.

The store and retrieve rules are the only rules that manipulate alternatives.

# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow} \quad \#}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\#}{B} \downarrow^2}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3}}{\#} \downarrow^2}{\frac{\frac{[A]^3}{A \wedge \neg B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow} \quad \#}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\#}{B} \downarrow^2}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3}}{\#} \downarrow^2} \rightarrow I^3$$

# An Example Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\quad} \uparrow \\
 \frac{\quad \quad \quad \frac{\frac{\quad}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{\quad} \wedge I}{\quad} \wedge I \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{[A]^3 \quad \quad}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\quad} \neg E \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\quad}{B} \downarrow^2}{\quad} \rightarrow I^3}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3
 \end{array}$$

$B \succ ; B$

# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow}}{\neg I^1}{\neg B}}{\wedge I}{A \wedge \neg B}}{\neg E}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)}}{\downarrow^2}{B}}{\rightarrow I^3}{A \rightarrow B}}$$

>  $\neg B; B$

# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow}}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\frac{B}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3} \downarrow^2}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3$$

$A \succ A \wedge \neg B; B$



# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow} \quad \#}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\frac{\frac{\#}{B} \downarrow^2}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3} \neg E$$

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B), A \succ ; B$

# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow} \quad \frac{\#}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{\wedge I} \quad [A]^3}{A \wedge \neg B} \quad \neg(A \wedge \neg B)}{\neg E} \quad \frac{\#}{B} \downarrow^2}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B), A \succ B;}$$

# An Example Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{[B]^1 \quad [B]^2}{\uparrow}}{\neg B} \neg I^1}{A \wedge \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg(A \wedge \neg B)} \neg E}{\frac{B}{\downarrow^2} \rightarrow I^3} \rightarrow I^3}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I^3$$

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \succ A \rightarrow B;$

# WEAKENING AND EXPLOSION

# Paradoxes of Relevance

---

$p \succ q \rightarrow p$

$p, \neg p \succ q$

# Paradoxes of Relevance

$$p \succ q \rightarrow p$$

$$p, \neg p \succ q$$

$$\frac{p}{q \rightarrow p} \rightarrow I$$

# Paradoxes of Relevance

$p \succ q \rightarrow p$

$$\frac{p}{q \rightarrow p} \rightarrow I$$

$p, \neg p \succ q$

$$\frac{\neg p \quad p}{\frac{\#}{q} \#E} \neg E$$

## Given alternatives, $\#E$ is not a separate rule!

$$\frac{\#}{A} \#E$$



# Given alternatives, $\#E$ is not a separate rule!

$$\frac{\#}{A} \#E$$

$$\frac{[\cancel{A}]^i}{\frac{\#}{A} \downarrow^i} \Pi$$

# Discharge Policies

---

	DUPLICATES	NO DUPLICATES
VACUOUS	<i>Standard</i>	<i>Affine</i>
NO VACUOUS	<i>Relevant</i>	<i>Linear</i>

---

# VARIETIES OF CONJUNCTION

# Conjunction and Weakening

$$\frac{p \quad [q]^1}{p \wedge q} \wedge I$$
$$\frac{p \wedge q}{p} \wedge E$$
$$\frac{p}{q \rightarrow p} \rightarrow I^1$$

## Conjunction and Weakening

$$\frac{p \quad [q]^1}{p \wedge q} \wedge I$$
$$\frac{p \wedge q}{p} \wedge E$$
$$\frac{p}{q \rightarrow p} \rightarrow I^1$$

Don't use  $\wedge I$  with  $\wedge E$  if you want to avoid weakening!

## Start with $\wedge I$ : Multiplicative Conjunction

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \otimes B} \otimes I$$

## Start with $\wedge I$ : Multiplicative Conjunction

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \otimes B} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{A \otimes B \quad \frac{[A]^i, [B]^i}{C} \Pi}{C} \otimes E^i$$

## Start with $\wedge I$ : Multiplicative Conjunction

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \otimes B} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{A \otimes B \quad \frac{[A]^i, [B]^i}{C} \Pi}{C} \otimes E^i$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ A \otimes B; Y, Y'} \otimes R$$



## Start with $\wedge I$ : Multiplicative Conjunction

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \otimes B} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{A \otimes B \quad \frac{[A]^i, [B]^i}{C} \Pi}{C} \otimes E^i$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ A \otimes B; Y, Y'} \otimes R$$

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ C; Y}{X, A \otimes B \succ C; Y} \otimes L$$

## Start with $\wedge E$ : *Additive* Conjunction

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

## Start with $\wedge E$ : *Additive* Conjunction

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{A} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{B} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{X, A \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

$$\frac{X, B \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

## Start with $\wedge E$ : *Additive* Conjunction

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{A} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{B} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{X, A \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

$$\frac{X, B \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X \succ B; Y}{X \succ A \sqcap B; Y} \sqcap R$$

## Start with $\wedge E$ : Additive Conjunction

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{A} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{A \sqcap B}{B} \sqcap E$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi_1 \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi_2 \\ B \end{array}}{A \sqcap B} \sqcap I$$

$$\frac{X, A \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

$$\frac{X, B \succ C; Y}{X, A \sqcap B \succ C; Y} \sqcap L$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X \succ B; Y}{X \succ A \sqcap B; Y} \sqcap R$$

## Combining Assumptions

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi_1 \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi_2 \\ B \end{array}}{A \sqcap B} \sqcap I$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X \succ B; Y}{X \succ A \sqcap B; Y} \sqcap R$$

## Combining Assumptions

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X, \cancel{Y} \\ \Pi_1 \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [X, \cancel{Y}]^i \\ \Pi_2 \\ B \end{array}}{A \sqcap B} \sqcap I^i$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X \succ B; Y}{X \succ A \sqcap B; Y} \sqcap R$$

## You can't compose proofs using $\sqcap$ !

$$\frac{p \sqcap q}{p} \sqcap E \qquad \frac{p \quad [p]^1}{p \sqcap p} \sqcap I^1$$



## You can't compose proofs using $\sqcap$ !

$$\frac{p \sqcap q}{p} \sqcap E \qquad \frac{p \quad [p]^1}{p \sqcap p} \sqcap I^1$$

$$\frac{\frac{p \sqcap q}{p} \sqcap E \quad [p]^1}{p \sqcap p} \cancel{\sqcap I^1}$$

CONTRACTION,  
COMPOSITION, AND  
ASSUMPTIONS

## Let's take a closer look at contraction

$$\frac{\frac{[p]^1 \quad [p]^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I}{p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \otimes p} \otimes R}{p \succ p \otimes p} W}{\succ p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

## Let's take a closer look at contraction

$$\frac{\frac{[p]^1 \quad [p]^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I}{p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \otimes p} \otimes R}{\frac{p \succ p \otimes p}{\succ p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} W} \rightarrow I$$

## Let's take a closer look at contraction

$$\frac{\frac{[p]^1 \quad [p]^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I}{p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \otimes p} \otimes R}{p \succ p \otimes p} W}{\succ p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

## Let's take a closer look at contraction

$$\frac{\frac{[p]^1 \quad [p]^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I}{p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \otimes p} \otimes R}{p \succ p \otimes p} W}{\succ p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

## Let's take a closer look at contraction

$$\frac{\frac{[p]^1 \quad [p]^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I}{p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \otimes p} \otimes R}{p \succ p \otimes p} W}{\succ p \rightarrow (p \otimes p)} \rightarrow I$$

We can *identify* assumptions before discharging them.

In a type theory, this is managed by *assumption variables*

$$\frac{p \quad p}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$



In a type theory, this is managed by *assumption variables*

$$\frac{p \quad p}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad y : p}{\langle x, y \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

In a type theory, this is managed by *assumption variables*

$$\frac{p \quad p}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad y : p}{\langle x, y \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{p^1 \quad p^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

In a type theory, this is managed by *assumption variables*

$$\frac{p \quad p}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad y : p}{\langle x, y \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{p^1 \quad p^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad x : p}{\langle x, x \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

In a type theory, this is managed by *assumption variables*

$$\frac{p \quad p}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad y : p}{\langle x, y \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{p^1 \quad p^1}{p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

$$\frac{x : p \quad x : p}{\langle x, x \rangle : p \otimes p} \otimes I$$

Here, proofs come with *equivalence classes* on formula occurrences in the leaves, indicated by labelling.

## Distinguishing two senses of *assumption*

- The *act* of assuming  $p$ .
  - The *content*  $p$  assumed.
- If the acts are the same, the contents are too.
  - But different acts can share the same content.

## Back to $\sqcap$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X^\alpha, Y^\beta \\ \Pi_1 \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} X^\alpha, Y^\beta \\ \Pi_2 \\ B \end{array}}{A \sqcap B} \sqcap I$$

Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  *identify* the labellings in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.  
The equivalence relation links one class in  $\Pi_1$  with one class in  $\Pi_2$ .

## Compare with $\otimes I$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \chi^\alpha, \cancel{\gamma}^\beta \\ \Pi_1 \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \chi'^{\alpha'}, \cancel{\gamma'}^{\beta'} \\ \Pi_2 \\ B \end{array}}{A \otimes B} \otimes I$$

Here, the labellings  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\alpha', \beta'$  are *disjoint* if we do not allow *contraction* as a structural rule.

The equivalence classes in the two proofs are kept disjoint.

# Compare

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \wedge (q \wedge r)^1}{p} \wedge E}{p \wedge q} \wedge I}{(p \wedge q) \wedge r} \wedge I$$
$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \wedge (q \wedge r)^1}{q \wedge r} \wedge E}{q} \wedge I}{(p \wedge q) \wedge r} \wedge I$$
$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \wedge (q \wedge r)^1}{q \wedge r} \wedge E}{r} \wedge E}{(p \wedge q) \wedge r} \wedge I$$

$$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \succ (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$



# Compare

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \sqcap (q \sqcap r)^1}{p} \sqcap E}{p \sqcap q} \sqcap I}{(p \sqcap q) \sqcap r} \sqcap I$$

$p \sqcap (q \sqcap r) \succ (p \sqcap q) \sqcap r$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \sqcap (q \sqcap r)^1}{p} \sqcap E}{p \sqcap q} \sqcap I}{(p \sqcap q) \otimes r} \otimes I$$

$p \sqcap (q \sqcap r), p \sqcap (q \sqcap r) \succ (p \sqcap q) \otimes r$

## You *can* compose proofs — substitute on *the assumption*

$$\frac{p \sqcap q}{p} \sqcap E \qquad \frac{p^1 \quad p^1}{p \sqcap p} \sqcap I$$

## You *can* compose proofs — substitute on *the assumption*

$$\frac{p \sqcap q}{p} \sqcap E \qquad \frac{p^1 \quad p^1}{p \sqcap p} \sqcap I$$

$$\frac{\frac{p \sqcap q^1}{p} \sqcap E \quad \frac{p \sqcap q^1}{p} \sqcap E}{p \sqcap p} \sqcap I$$

## Composition, in General

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad A, X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ B; Y, Y'} \textit{Cut}$$

# Composition, in General

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad A, X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ B; Y, Y'} \textit{Cut}$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} X, \cancel{Y} & A^i, X', \cancel{Y'} \\ \Pi & \Pi' \\ A & B \end{array}$$

## Composition, in General

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad A, X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ B; Y, Y'} \textit{Cut}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X, \cancel{\Psi} & A^i, X', \cancel{\Psi} & X^\alpha, \cancel{\Psi}^\beta \\
 \Pi & \Pi' & \Pi \\
 A & B & A \quad X', \cancel{\Psi} \\
 & & \Pi' \\
 & & B
 \end{array}$$

$\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are sets of new labels used to identify each distinct occurrence of the assumptions in  $X$  and  $\cancel{\Psi}$ .

## Some Upshots

---

- *Alternatives* are a well-behaved addition to Gentzen–Prawitz natural deduction.

## Some Upshots

- *Alternatives* are a well-behaved addition to Gentzen–Prawitz natural deduction.
- Alternatives help us *unify* the natural deduction account of *relevance/weakening*.



## Some Upshots

- *Alternatives* are a well-behaved addition to Gentzen–Prawitz natural deduction.
- Alternatives help us *unify* the natural deduction account of *relevance/weakening*.
- The *act/content* distinction applies to assumptions, and this is important when it comes to different forms of *contraction*, and the composition of proofs.

# Thank you!

**SLIDES:** [https://consequently.org/presentation/2022/  
natural-deduction-with-alternatives-london](https://consequently.org/presentation/2022/natural-deduction-with-alternatives-london)

**PAPER:** [https://consequently.org/writing/  
structural-rules-in-natural-deduction-with-alternatives](https://consequently.org/writing/structural-rules-in-natural-deduction-with-alternatives)

# References: Natural Deduction and Alternatives

SARA NEGRI AND JAN VON PLATO

*Structural Proof Theory*

Cambridge University Press,  
2001

GREG RESTALL

“Speech Acts & the Quest for a  
Natural Account of Classical  
Proof”

*Presentation at the Berkeley Logic  
Group, September 2020*

<https://consequently.org/presentation/>

MICHEL PARIGOT

“ $\lambda\mu$ -Calculus: An Algorithmic  
Interpretation of Classical  
Natural Deduction”

*International Conference on Logic for  
Programming Artificial Intelligence  
and Reasoning, LNAI 624, 190–201,  
1992*

# References: Substructural Natural Deduction

SARA NEGRI

“A Normalizing System of  
Natural Deduction for  
Intuitionistic Linear Logic”

*Archive for Mathematical Logic*,  
2002 (41) 789–810.

LILA RAMALHO MARTINS AND  
ANA TERESA MARTINS

“Natural Deduction and Weak  
Normalization for Full Linear  
Logic”

*Logic Journal of the IGPL*, 2004 (12)  
601–625.

ERNST ZIMMERMANN

“Substructural Logics in Natural  
Deduction”

*Logic Journal of the IGPL*, 2007 (15)  
211–232.